Methodology and Codebook

Pushed to Extremes: Domestic Terrorism amid Polarization and Protest

Data Selection and Process
This research effort aimed to identify the scope, nature, and orientation of the domestic terrorism threat in the United States. To analyze this, the CSIS Transnational Threats Project (TNT) compiled a data set of 1,040 terrorist attacks and plots that occurred in the United States between January 1, 1994, and December 31, 2021.¹

This time period was selected in order to provide context on the history of domestic terrorism in the United States in recent decades, and in particular to allow analysis of how the current right-wing threat compares to the last major wave of right-wing violence in the 1990s. The data set begins in 1994 rather than earlier in the decade due to sourcing challenges. TNT relied heavily on START Global Terrorism Database (GTD) data for the early years of the data set, and a full record of incidents in 1993 is unavailable due to data loss. Nonetheless, the team concluded that 1994 served as a strong starting point, allowing TNT to examine both the build-up to the 1995 peak in right-wing activity and over 25 years of terrorist activity in the United States overall.

TNT drew from the following databases and other sources: the Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project (ACLED) (2020–2021); the Anti-Defamation League’s (ADL) Hate, Extremism, Anti-Semitism, and Terrorism (H.E.A.T.) Map (2002–2021); Janes Terrorism and Insurgency Events (2009–2021); START GTD (1994–2017); and press releases and reports from the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and Department of Justice (DOJ).² TNT cross-referenced events against criminal complaints and affidavits when possible as well as local and national news sources such as the New York Times, Washington Post, and Los Angeles Times.

Incidents were compiled, coded, and reviewed for accuracy and consistency by a team of five TNT researchers. External reviewers also checked the data set and reviewed a draft of the conclusions.

This project expanded on a previous version of the data set, which covered the period from January 1, 1994, to January 31, 2021. This document serves as an update to the methodology overviews that accompanied previous analyses of the data.³
**Definition of Terrorism**

TNT defined terrorism as the deliberate use—or threat—of violence by non-state actors in order to achieve political goals and create a broad psychological impact. For inclusion in the data set, events had to meet all parts of this definition. TNT did not seek to track other issues, such as hate crimes, protests, riots, or broader civil unrest.

Throughout the time period covered, there were many incidents that did not meet the definition of terrorism and were therefore excluded, regardless of whether the events were considered other types of crimes. Determinations were based on publicly available information as of February 2022.

Common reasons that events were not deemed to be terrorism included:

- **Absence of violence or the threat of violence:** There is an overlap between terrorism and hate crimes. However, not all hate crimes—defined by the FBI as "crimes in which the perpetrators acted based on a bias against the victim's race, color, religion, or national origin"—include violent elements. TNT excluded incidents in which there was no actual or threatened violence, such as graffiti and trespassing. TNT also did not include incidents in which U.S. residents attempted to provide material support to foreign terrorist organizations without connection to specific violent plots or attacks.

- **Non-political motives:** TNT also excluded incidents in which the perpetrators' motives were not political in nature. Frequently, these perpetrators had personal motivations, such as greed. For example, TNT did not code as terrorism those incidents during public demonstrations (such as looting) that were attributed to apolitical criminals. Similarly, TNT did not code the Kyle Rittenhouse incident in Kenosha, Wisconsin, as a terrorist attack. TNT assessed that he lacked a clear political motive for the killings.

- **Undetermined motives:** In some cases, no motive for an incident was identified. Though some of these events may have been tied to political motives, they were excluded from the data set if there was no evidence. For example, there were many examples of vehicles driving into protests during the summer of 2020. However, many could not be definitively connected to political intention and were therefore excluded.

**Limitations**

There are several potential limitations to the data set.

First, since TNT drew from multiple data sources—few of which covered most or all of the time period—there is likely some inconsistancy in how thoroughly events were recorded across years. In particular, fewer sources (including news reports to cross-reference) exist for cases earlier in the time period. In addition, data collection methods used by TNT's sources improved over time. For example, START expanded its collection methodology in 2012, resulting in more comprehensive event records. Additionally, since ACLED began closely monitoring incidents related to demonstrations and political violence in the United States in May 2020, the data set may have detected more small-scale incidents, such as threats against protesters, in that period as compared to previous years.

Second, there were 109 START GTD entries between the years 1994 and 1997 that did not
include event summaries to identify the incident. TNT researchers identified and coded 99 of these events through cross-referencing other data sources and researching incidents matching the date, location, and other variables indicated in the GTD data, such as weapon type and target type. However, TNT was unable to verify 10 of these incidents (four in 1995, four in 1996, and two in 1997) and subsequently removed them from the data set.

Third, due to incomplete public information on disrupted plots, the data set may not include every plot during the period. However, TNT included every plot that could be verified.

Finally, there was often limited information available on motivations for violent incidents, particularly regarding violence during riots, including objects such as Molotov cocktails thrown by unknown individuals, and vehicle attacks at demonstrations. TNT maintained a high standard of proof and excluded incidents for which a clear political motive could not be determined. Consequently, the data set may understate the number of attacks. For example, there were approximately 450 violent protests between May and August 2020, based on ACLED data. Yet TNT only verified 12 incidents of far-left terrorism during that period, since most of the violence did not meet the definition of terrorism. Similarly, though some sources recorded over 100 far-right vehicle attacks at protests in 2020, TNT only verified 9 as meeting the definition of terrorist attacks.\(^7\) As investigations and court cases proceed and new evidence emerges, the data set can be updated accordingly.

Despite these limitations, TNT believes that the data set of 1,040 events offers a fair representation of terrorism in the United States from January 1, 1994, to December 31, 2021.

**Codebook**

**YEAR, MONTH, DAY**
These columns record the date on which the incident occurred. In the case of plots, TNT recorded the date on which the plot was interrupted, such as the date of arrest.

**STATE, CITY, LAT, LONG**
These columns record the location of the incident by the name of the state and city and by its geographic coordinates. In the case of plots, if a specific target location was unknown, TNT recorded the location at which the perpetrator was apprehended.

**SUMMARY**
This column provides a brief description of the incident.

**ORIENTATION**
TNT categorized each terrorist incident into one of five perpetrator orientations: ethnonationalist, religious, violent far-left, violent far-right, and other. Terms such as far-right and far-left terrorism do not correspond to mainstream political parties in the United States, such as the Republican and Democratic parties, which eschew terrorism. Nor do they correspond to the vast majority of political conservatives and liberals in the United States, who do not support terrorism.

*Far-right* terrorists are motivated by ideas of racial or ethnic supremacy; opposition to government authority, including the sovereign citizen movement; misogyny, including incels (“involuntary celibates”); hatred based on sexuality or gender identity; belief in
the QAnon conspiracy theory; or opposition to certain policies, such as abortion. Some extremists on the violent far-right have supported "accelerationism," which includes taking actions to promote social upheaval and incite a civil war.

Far-left terrorists are motivated by an opposition to capitalism, imperialism, or colonialism; Black nationalism; support for environmental causes or animal rights; pro-communist or pro-socialist beliefs; or support for decentralized political and social systems, such as anarchism.

Religious terrorists are motivated by a faith-based belief system. This may include Christianity, Hinduism, Islam, Judaism, or other faiths. Within the religious category, TNT further distinguished cases in which the perpetrator adhered to a jihadist ideology. After completing the coding process, TNT found that all religious incidents in this data set were also Salafi-jihadist in nature. The terms are therefore used interchangeably in the analysis. While perpetrators of some other attacks may have religious ties, such as some within the Christian Identity movement, these attacks are motivated primarily by concepts of white supremacy and are therefore coded as right-wing. Similarly, though (primarily Christian) religious ideology may influence some perpetrators of abortion-related attacks, these traditionally fall under the definition of right-wing terrorism.

Ethnonationalist terrorists are motivated by ethnic or nationalist goals, including self-determination. In recent decades, issues driving ethnonationalist terrorism in the United States included political divisions within Haitian and Cuban exile communities and Puerto Rican independence. While anti-Semitic motives are classified as right-wing, attacks on Jewish individuals or institutions intended as a response to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict are classified as ethnonationalist.

Incidents in which a political motive was established but did not meet any of these criteria were classified as other. These incidents included attacks and plots conducted by members of the anti-government Boogaloo movement.

IDEOLOGYSUBTYPE
This column denotes the primary ideological subcategory of the perpetrator within the five broader perpetrator orientations tracked in the Orientation column.

Examples include:
- Anarchist
- Anti-abortion
- Antifa
- Anti-government
- Boogaloo
- Environmental and Animal Rights
- Jihadist
- Militia
• QAnon
• White Supremacist

In cases in which multiple categories may apply, the more specific category is prioritized. For example, an attack by a militia group would be coded as “Militia” rather than “Anti-government.”

GROUP
This column provides the name of the group, network, or ideology subset to which the perpetrator belonged, if known and if relevant. Individuals perpetrated most attacks, not groups. Not all codings indicate formal organizations.

Examples include:
• Antifa
• Atomwaffen Division
• The Base
• Boogaloo
• Incel
• Ku Klux Klan
• QAnon

PLOT
This column distinguishes between attacks (“0”) and disrupted plots (“1”) in the data set. Incidents were defined as plots if a perpetrator demonstrated plans or intention to commit an act of terrorism that was prevented, most often due to law enforcement intervention or failure during the preparation stages (such as explosives detonated during production). Incidents were defined as attacks if action was taken to carry out an act of terrorism. This includes attacks that both succeeded and failed.

Consistent with TNT’s definition of terrorism, as described in the previous section, the authors coded credible threats of violence as attacks rather than plots. Threatened violence still conveyed a political message, caused public fear, and required a law enforcement response. It included, for example, perpetrators pointing firearms at protesters with a veritable threat to use force.

LE
This column denotes whether the perpetrator (or at least one of the perpetrators, if multiple) was a current or former member of a U.S. law enforcement agency. If coded “0,” the perpetrator was identified and had no affiliation with law enforcement. If coded “1,” the perpetrator was identified and had an affiliation with law enforcement. If coded “U,” the perpetrator was not identified to the public or the affiliation could not be confirmed.

Since TNT coded if an incident involved at least one perpetrator affiliated with law enforcement, the full extent of participation by individual members of law enforcement
is not illustrated in the data set. Rather, this column tracks the number of incidents that can be linked to current or former law enforcement personnel. Incidents that involved multiple perpetrators affiliated with law enforcement received the same coding as single-perpetrator incidents with these affiliations. Discrete incidents that were each perpetrated by the same individual were individually coded as having law enforcement affiliation.

**LESTATUS**
This column notes the nature of the perpetrator’s relationship to law enforcement if the value of LE is “1.” Categories tracked are “Active” and “Former.” If LE has a value of “0” or “U,” LEStatus is listed as “n/a.”

**MIL**
This column denotes whether the perpetrator (or at least one of the perpetrators, if multiple) was a current or former member of the U.S. military. If coded “0,” the perpetrator was identified and had no affiliation with the military. If coded “1,” the perpetrator was identified and had an affiliation with the military. If coded “U,” the perpetrator was not identified to the public, or the affiliation could not be confirmed.

Note that this variable only tracks connections between perpetrators and the U.S. military. Perpetrators who were connected to foreign armed services—such as Mohammed Saeed Alshamrani, the Royal Saudi Airforce officer who committed a mass shooting at Naval Air Station Pensacola in December 2019—were coded as “0.”

Since TNT coded if an incident involved at least one perpetrator affiliated with the military, the full extent of participation by individual members of the military is not illustrated in the data set. Rather, this column tracks the number of incidents that can be linked to current or former military personnel. Incidents that involved multiple perpetrators affiliated with the military received the same coding as single-perpetrator incidents with these affiliations. Discrete incidents that were each perpetrated by the same individual were individually coded as having military affiliation.

**MILSTATUS**
This column notes the nature of the perpetrator’s relationship to the U.S. military if the value of MIL is “1.” Categories tracked are “Active,” “Reserve,” and “Veteran.” If MIL has a value of “0” or “U,” MILStatus is listed as “n/a.”

**TARGET**
This column identifies the primary target of the attack or plot.

Codings include:

- **Abortion Related**: medical staff and facilities related to abortion, such as women’s health clinics;
- **Business**: private companies and stores;
- **Demonstrators**: individuals participating in public protests, rallies, and other demonstrations to achieve a political goal;
- **Government, Military, and Police**: personnel, property, and locations related to the local, state, and federal government; the military; and law enforcement;
- **Journalists and Media**: individuals, property, and locations related to journalism and news media;

- **Private Individuals**: individuals targeted based on personal characteristics, such as race, ethnicity, gender, sexuality, or immigration status;

- **Religious Institutions**: targets such as houses of worship, religious leaders, and religious congregations;

- **Transportation and Infrastructure**: targets such as public transportation, airports, trains, bridges, dams, utilities, and pipelines;

- **Other**: targets that were not included in other categories; and

- **Blank**: indicates a plot for which a specific target was not publicly specified.  

**DEMONSTRATION**
This column records whether or not an incident was related to a public demonstration. If coded “0,” the attack or plot was not related to a demonstration. If coded “1,” the attack or plot was related to a demonstration. Terrorist incidents related to public demonstrations were defined to include terrorist attacks committed by demonstrators, attacks targeting demonstrators, and attacks intentionally timed to occur alongside demonstrations, often to obscure the identity or the intent of the perpetrators. Public demonstrations include protests, sit-ins, marches, and other public gatherings intended to advance a social or political cause.

**WEAPON**
This column records the primary weapon used in attacks or expected to be used in plots. Codings include:

- **Explosives and Incendiaries**: bombs, Molotov cocktails, arson, and other weapons that create a blast or fire;

- **Firearms**: automatic, semi-automatic, and non-automatic rifles; shotguns; handguns; unknown and other gun types; and non-lethal guns, including airsoft rifles;

- **Melee**: close contact weapons that do not involve projectiles, typically involving stabbing or bludgeoning, for example, knives, machetes, axes, and hammers;

- **Vehicle**: cars, trucks, vans, sports utility vehicles, and other automobiles, typically used in ramming attacks; and

- **Other**: weapons that do not fall into any other category, such as a bow and arrow.

**VICTKILLED**
This column records the number of victim fatalities caused by a terrorist attack. Perpetrator fatalities are excluded.

**DBSOURCE**
If applicable, this column notes the original source(s) cited by the databases from which TNT compiled incidents.
**TNTSOURCE**

This column notes the source(s) through which TNT researchers identified the event for inclusion in the data set. See the data selection section on page 1 of this methodology for more details on each.
Endnotes

1 The United States was defined as the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico.

Three far-right plots in the data set did not have specified targets and were excluded from the target analysis.

One far-left incident in the data set involved the use of an airsoft rifle, which was coded as “firearms” in this data set.