

# SFREEMAN REPORT

FROM THE FREEMAN CHAIR IN CHINA STUDIES

FEBRUARY 2004

# More In This Issue

- \* IN THE NEWS
- \* PUBLICATONS
- "Taiwan's Communication Problem" by Andrew Yang
- \* CONFERENCES

Feb 18 The Health of China's **Economy** 

Feb 23 Taiwan Elections 2004

- \* WHAT'S NEW
- \* FREEMAN FACTS

Road Accidents in China (continued on page 3)

# **Quotes Of The Month**

"I have been talking to all of my European Union colleagues ... to say that [lifting the EU arms embargo on China] is something they really need to give long and hard thought to and not do. .... But it will be coming back up and we will be pressing our European Union colleagues not to abandon this policy." - U.S. Secretary of State Colin Powell before the House International Relations Committee, February 11, 2004

(continued on page 2)

# **Trivia**

What is the most recognized fast food name among consumers in China today?

(continued on page 3)

# FEATURE ESSAY: THE EU AND CHINA - THE NEED FOR **EU-U.S. COORDINATION**

BY MICHAEL YAHUDA

As Europe-China relations deepen - EU-China trade is set to exceed U.S.-China trade - and as the EU continues to debate the question of arms sales to China, it is more pressing than ever to deepen the trans-Atlantic dialogue on China and East Asian issues more generally.

EU-China relations continue to progress apace, though in a way which illustrates both the strengths and the weaknesses of the EU as an external actor. The EU has been effective in the conduct of trade relations where it is institutionally well organized to negotiate and speak with one voice on behalf of its member states. In addition, and to its credit, the EU has also been very active in promoting better governance and the enhancement of a rules-bound international community. It is difficult to gauge how much of China's recent growing adherence to the norms and practices of international institutions has been influenced by the steady prodding of the Europeans, but there appears to be a positive effect. However, on political affairs, there remains a wellknown gap between European rhetoric and practice, and this is most evident in the area of Chinese human rights and on Tibet, where the process of "dialogue" as opposed to "confrontation" has yielded little or no concrete results.

Trade is where EU-China relations seem to work best. When the EU representative in China welcomed Leon Brittan, the then-Trade Commissioner, to Beijing in 1994, he told him that China was a new empire for him to conquer. In other words, China offered unlimited opportunities for the EU and for its Trade Commissioner to make their own mark in the world. Indeed trade between China and the EU, has grown very rapidly in recent years. For the first nine months of 2003 according to Chinese figures, two-way trade reached \$89 billion, just behind the US and Japanese figures of \$91 and \$96 billion, respectively. Trade with the EU, however, grew at over 40%, which was faster than the 30% reached by the other two. China, which published its first ever White Paper on the EU last October, confidently expected that the EU would soon become China's leading trade and investment partner. That has very great significance as it demonstrates the stake that each has in ensuring stable relations.

(continued on page 2)

# In The News

CHINA Bo Xilai was recently named the new Minister of Commerce, taking over one of China's most

special envoys, liaison offices, and a demilitarized zone with China, along with a "framework of inter-

### Page 2

But while the EU-China business and trade relationship is certainly important, beginning in the 1990s the EU and its key states ensured that relations with China were not confined to economics. The EU and China are distant neighbors that do not impinge on each other's vital security interests and most pressing political concerns. Apart from the diminishing legacy of Tiananmen, distance also means that there are no major complications or historical baggage to disrupt the development of good political relations. From a Chinese perspective the EU countries represent important modern markets and sources of advanced technology that unlike those provided by Japan and the US are not complicated by strategic and political conflicts of interest.

In the political sphere, summits and other meetings of senior officials have become regular and institutionalized. Since 1998 annual meetings have been attended by the Chinese Premier and by the three top officials of the EU. On the whole these events have tended to be more notable for their photo opportunities than for their concrete results. But the 6<sup>th</sup> and most recent summit held in October last year provided an opportunity for the new Premier Wen Jiabao to play the role of an international statesman. At that summit, the two sides also signed the agreement on China's participation in the European Galileo satellite program (rival to the American GPS) and a Memorandum of Understanding about destination status (to facilitate Chinese tourism in Europe). The summits have come to illustrate the importance that both sides attach to the process of consultation and cooperation. In addition, supported by top leaders, individual countries and the EU Commission devoted significant resources to the development and implementation of a raft of programmes designed to assist reform, governance, and civil society in China and encourage China to become better integrated into the international community.

In a wider context, these top-level meetings enable China's leaders to dwell on their favorite themes of multipolarity, the recognition of international diversity, the desirability of democracy in international relations (all euphemisms for accepting the legitimacy of the authoritarian character of the Chinese state), and the enhancement of the role of the United Nations. These implicit challenges to American positions find a ready response in some, but not all, of the European chanceries, and are never openly rebutted by the EU. This point touches on one of the unsolved foreign policy identity issues of the EU: how far the development of an authentic and distinctive European voice in world affairs should be opposed to as well as separate from official views in Washington.

A pointed example of such divergence could involve the issue of ending the EU embargo on arms sales to China. This brought to the surface a dilemma in the EU's policy not only toward the United States, but toward China as well. On the one hand, the EU treats China as a significant international partner that plays a responsible and important role in world affairs. But on the other, as EU Foreign Affairs Commissioner Chris Patten has pointed out, in the context of arms sales, China is placed in the same category as states such as Iran and North Korea.

It is important to point out that at issue is not China's status, but the credibility of the EU's own Code of Conduct on arms sales issued in 1998, which supersedes the Tiananmen-influenced 1989 arms embargo. The Code of Conduct specifically rules out arms sales to states whose governments systematically violate the human rights of citizens and/or minorities, or states that threaten to use force in pursuit of territorial claims. The German Chancellor and the French President in particular have pressed for the resumption of arms sales. A preliminary meeting of the EU failed to provide the necessary unanimity and a meeting of foreign ministers is due to be held next month to determine the EU response. The Netherlands and some Scandinavian countries are known to be opposed on human rights grounds. Now that the US Secretary of State has publicly called on EU members to desist, it is difficult to see how unanimity can be found to override the Code of Conduct restrictions.

This issue involving EU-China and EU-U.S. relations - as well as questions over trade, business, and political relations - keenly demonstrates the need for improved dialogue between the EU and the United States over their respective China policies. It is natural and expected that EU-US differences over China will exist. But Europe and the United States can begin from already-shared understandings on the kind of China they are encouraging to emerge, and the understanding that neither the EU nor the United States benefits when China can play one off the other. Regularized senior-level dialogue will help avoid unnecessary surprises and rifts and sustain mutual trans-Atlantic interests regarding China.

Michael Yahuda is an Emeritus Professor at the London School of Economics and Political Science and a Visiting Scholar with the Sigur Center for Asian Studies, Elliott School of International Affairs, George Washington University

# **Quotes of the Month**

(continued from page 1)

"U.S. continued imposition of arms embargo is of no substantive meaning. Without U.S.-made military equipment and technologies, China has all the same sent manned spacecraft to space, and China's ballistic missiles are by no means inferior to those of any country. China has also made its own computer chips. All these are the best reply to the West's military sanctions against China." **People's Daily Online**, defending against the U.S. insistence on maintaining the arms embargo, February 9, 2004

#### **PUBLICATIONS**

On February 6, **Andrew Yang (Yang Wei-Chih)**, Research Assistant with the Freeman Chair, published an article, "*Taiwan's Communication Problem*" in the PacNet newsletter of the CSIS Pacific Forum. He noted that owing to a poor communications strategy, Taipei unnecessarily exacerbated opposition to the March 20 referendum and damaged Taiwan's credibility in Washington. This article was reprinted as "Time to Mend Fences" in the February 11 edition of the South China Morning Post.

#### **CONFERENCES AND EVENTS**

February 18, "The Health of China's Economy" Professor **Hu Angang**, Professor at Tsinghua University analyzed the influence of SARS on China's economy, the reasons why China can maintain high growth notwithstanding the shock of SARS, and the direction of China's socio-economic development in the post-SARS period. Professor Hu's presentation can be found on the Freeman Chair website.

February 23, "Taiwan Elections 2004" the CSIS Freeman Chair together with the Brookings Institution and the Asia Society, hosted a panel session featuring experts on Taiwan, elections and democratization to discuss the prospects and implications of Taiwan's March 20th elections. Larry Diamond, Shelly Rigger and Richard Bush gave presentations, with Bates Gill serving as moderator.

#### WHAT'S NEW

We would like to welcome our new Research Intern, **Amy Phariss**. A recent M.A graduate from the University of British Columbia, Amy also served as a Peace Corps Volunteer in China from 1999- 2001, teaching English in Sichuan province and working on projects related to women's education and development.

#### TRIVIA ANSWER

**Kentucky Fried Chicken (KFC)**. Since opening its first mainland outlet in Beijing in 1987, the fried-chicken chain has gone on to become the most recognized global brand among urban consumers in China. They open 250 stores a year in China. The company made some early missteps: for example, KFC's advertising slogan "finger-lickin' good" was mistranslated into Chinese characters that meant "eat your fingers off."

Source: "Colonel Sander's March on Asia", TIME Asia Magazine. November 24, 2003.

# FREEMAN FACTS

#### Road Accidents in China

Number of people killed in road accidents,	95,479
(January - November 2003)	
Growth of auto sales in China, 2002 to 2003	76%
Av. annual growth rate of road accidents, 1986-2002	6.3%

# (2002)

% of deaths in total number of road accidents (U.S.)	0.6%
% of deaths in total number of road accidents (China)	14%

**Sources:** People's Daily, December 9, 2003 United States Bureau of Transportation Statiscs, National Transportation Statistics 2003

The Freeman Report is an electronic newsletter produced monthly by the Freeman Chair in China Studies at the Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS), a private, tax exempt institution focusing on international public policy issues. Its research is non-partisan and nonproprietary. CSIS does not take specific policy positions; accordingly, all views, positions, and conclusions expressed in this publications should be understood to be those of the author(s).

Center for Strategic and International Studies 2004

#### THE FREEMAN CHAIR IN CHINA STUDIES

Bates Gill. Freeman Chair in China Studies

Andrew Thompson, Research Associate

Sue Anne Tay, Research Assistant

Andrew Yang, Research Assistant

Amy Phariss, Research Intern

If you would like to be added to the Freeman Report listserv, please e-mail FreemanChair@csis.org.

# About the FREEMAN CHAIR IN CHINA STUDIES

The Freeman Chair in China Studies was established at the Center for Strategic and International Studies in 1994 to advance the study of China and to promote understanding between the United States and the countries of the Asia Pacific region. The Freeman Family—Luther Freeman as a clergyman, Mansfield Freeman as a scholar-business leader, and Houghton Freeman as a corporate executive in a global enterprise—have established a tradition of contributing to international understanding through practical experience in East Asia and China.

The United States has a long-standing relationship with China and the countries of East Asia, which play increasingly important roles in future international economics, politics, culture, and security. In the diplomatic, public policy, business, and government fields, the peoples of both China and the United States will benefit from greater mutual appreciation and understanding through broadly based international exchange.

The Freeman Chair's active policy-oriented agenda is dedicated to delivering informed public policy debates, expert briefings, and strategic policy recommendations on Greater China and East Asia to the diplomatic, policymaking, business, and government arenas.

# **Current research projects**

- China's domestic challenges and their consequences for U.S.-China relations and U.S. strategic interests
- · The evolving U.S.-China strategic nuclear dynamic
- · China's HIV/AIDS crisis and its implications for U.S.-China relations
- · Examining the economic, trade, and financial tensions in U.S.-China relations
- · China's emergence in Central Asia and its implications for the United States.
- · China's military modernization and its impact on regional security
- · U.S-China-Taiwan relations

To learn more about our program and ongoing research projects, please visit our website at http://csis.org/china/index.htm