


THE NATION'S GROWING Fiscal Imbalance

The Honorable David M. Walker
Comptroller General of the United States

CSIS Global Aging Forum
June 16, 2004

GAO's Strategic Plan



GAO's High Risk List

High Risk Areas

Designated High Risk

Addressing Challenges in Broad-based Transformations

Protecting Information Systems Supporting the Federal Government and The Nation's Critical Infrastructures	1997
Strategic Human Capital Management*	2001
U.S. Postal Service Transformation Efforts and Long-Term Outlook*	2001
Implementing and Transforming the New Department of Homeland Security	2003
Modernizing Federal Disability Programs*	2003
Federal Real Property*	2003

Ensuring Major Technology Investments Improve Services

FAA Air Traffic Control Modernization	1995
IRS Business Systems Modernization	1995
DOD Systems Modernization	1995

Providing Basic Financial Accountability

DOD Financial Management	1995
IRS Financial Management	1995
Forest Service Financial Management	1999
FAA Financial Management	1999

Reducing Inordinate Program Risks


Medicare Program*	1990
Collection of Unpaid Taxes	1990
DOD Inventory Management	1990
Student Financial Aid Programs	1990
HUD Single-Family Mortgage Insurance and Rental Assistance Programs	1994
Earned Income Credit Noncompliance	1995
DOD Support Infrastructure Management	1997
Medicaid Program*	2003
Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation Single-Employer Insurance Program*	2003

Managing Large Procurement Operations More Efficiently

DOD Weapon Systems Acquisition	1990
Department of Energy Contract Management	1990
NASA Contract Management	1990
DOD Contract Management	1992

*Additional authorizing legislation is likely to be required as one element of addressing this high risk area.

Aged Population as a Share of Total U.S. Population Continues to Grow




Note: Projections based on the intermediate assumptions of the 2004 Trustees' Reports.

Source: Office of the Chief Actuary, Social Security Administration.

Labor Force Growth is Expected to be Negligible by 2050


3 Percentage change (5-yr moving average)



Note: Percentage change is calculated as a centered 5-yr moving average of projections based on the intermediate assumptions of the 2004 Trustees Reports.

Source: GAO analysis of data from the Office of the Chief Actuary, Social Security Administration.

Social Security Workers per Beneficiary




Note: Projections based on the intermediate assumptions of the 2004 Trustees' Reports.


Source: Office of the Chief Actuary, Social Security Administration.

Composition of Federal Spending


1964



1984



2004*



Defense
Net interest


Social Security
All other spending

Medicare & Medicaid

*Current services estimate.

Source: *Budget of the United States Government, FY 2005*, Office of Management and Budget.

Federal Spending for Mandatory and Discretionary Programs



*Current services estimate.

Source: *Budget of the United States Government, FY 2005*, Office of Management and Budget.

Selected Fiscal Exposures: Sources and Examples (End of 2003)^a

Type	Example (dollars in billions)
Explicit liabilities	Publicly held debt (\$3,913) Military and civilian pension and post-retirement health (\$2,857) Veterans benefits payable (\$955) Environmental and disposal liabilities (\$250) Loan guarantees (\$35)
Explicit financial commitments	Undelivered orders (\$596) Long-term leases (\$47)
Explicit financial contingencies	Unadjudicated claims (\$9) Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (\$86) Other national insurance programs (\$7) Government corporations e.g., Ginnie Mae
Implicit exposures implied by current policies or the public's expectations about the role of government	Debt held by government accounts (\$2,859) ^b Future Social Security benefit payments (\$3,699) ^c Future Medicare Part A benefit payments (\$8,236) ^c Future Medicare Part B benefit payments (\$11,416) ^c Future Medicare Part D benefit payments (\$8,119) ^c Life cycle cost including deferred and future maintenance and operating costs (amount unknown) Government Sponsored Enterprises e.g., Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac

^a All figures are for end of fiscal year 2003, except Social Security and Medicare estimates, which are end of calendar year 2003.

^b This amount includes \$774 billion held by military and civilian pension funds that would offset the explicit liabilities reported by those funds.

^c Figures for Social Security and Medicare are net of debt held by the trust funds (\$1,531 billion for Social Security, \$256 billion for Medicare Part A, and \$24 billion for Medicare Part B) and represent net present value estimates over a 75-year period. Over an infinite horizon, the estimate for Social Security would be \$10.4 trillion, \$21.8 trillion for Medicare Part A, \$23.2 trillion for Medicare Part B, and \$16.5 trillion for Medicare Part D.

Source: GAO analysis of data from the Department of the Treasury, the Office of the Chief Actuary, Social Security Administration, and the Office of the Actuary, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services.

Updated 3/30/04.

Another Way to Think About These Numbers


As of the End of FY 03

- Debt held by the public—\$3.9T
- Trust fund debt—\$2.9T
- Gross debt—\$6.8T
- Gross debt per person—about \$24,000
- If we add everything on the previous slide that is not included in gross debt, the burden per person rises to over \$140,000. Alternatively, it amounts to a total unfunded burden of more than \$40 trillion in current dollars, which is about 18 times the current annual federal budget or more than 3 ½ times the current annual GDP.

Note: The calculations only consider a 75-year horizon for Social Security and Medicare.

Composition of Spending as a Share of GDP

Under Baseline Extended




Notes: In addition to the expiration of tax cuts, revenue as a share of GDP increases through 2014 due to (1) real bracket creep, (2) more taxpayers becoming subject to the AMT, and (3) increased revenue from tax-deferred retirement accounts. After 2014, revenue as a share of GDP is held constant.

Source: GAO's March 2004 analysis.

Composition of Spending as a Share of GDP

Assuming Discretionary Spending Grows with GDP after 2004




Notes: In addition to the expiration of tax cuts, revenue as a share of GDP increases through 2014 due to (1) real bracket creep, (2) more taxpayers becoming subject to the AMT, and (3) increased revenue from tax-deferred retirement accounts. After 2014, revenue as a share of GDP is held constant.

Source: GAO's March 2004 analysis.

Composition of Spending as a Share of GDP

Assuming All Expiring Tax Provisions are Extended




Notes: Although expiring tax provisions are extended, revenue as a share of GDP increases through 2014 due to (1) real bracket creep, (2) more taxpayers becoming subject to the AMT, and (3) increased revenue from tax-deferred retirement accounts. After 2014, revenue as a share of GDP is held constant.

Source: GAO's March 2004 analysis.

Composition of Spending as a Share of GDP


Assuming Discretionary Spending Grows with GDP After 2004 and All Expiring Tax Provisions Are Extended



Notes: Although expiring tax provisions are extended, revenue as a share of GDP increases through 2014 due to (1) real bracket creep, (2) more taxpayers becoming subject to the AMT, and (3) increased revenue from tax-deferred retirement accounts. After 2014, revenue as a share of GDP is held constant.


Source: GAO's March 2004 analysis.

Social Security and Medicare's Hospital Insurance Trust Funds Face Cash Deficits



Source: GAO analysis based on the intermediate assumptions of *The 2004 Annual Report of the Board of Trustees of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance and the Federal Disability Insurance Trust Funds* and *The 2004 Annual Report of the Boards of Trustees of the Federal Hospital Insurance and Federal Supplementary Medical Insurance Trust Funds*. The above excludes Medicare Part B and the newly enacted Medicare Part D benefit.

Social Security, Medicare, and Medicaid Spending as a Percent of GDP



Note: Social Security and Medicare projections based on the intermediate assumptions of the 2004 Trustees' Reports. Medicaid projections based on CBO's January 2004 short-term Medicaid estimates and CBO's December 2003 long-term Medicaid projections under mid-range assumptions.

Source: GAO analysis based on data from the Office of the Chief Actuary, Social Security Administration, Office of the Actuary, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, and the Congressional Budget Office.

Current Fiscal Policy Is Unsustainable

- **The “Status Quo” is Not an Option**
 - We face large and growing structural deficits largely due to known demographic trends and rising health care costs.
 - GAO’s simulations show that balancing the budget in 2040 could require actions as large as
 - Cutting total federal spending by about 60 percent or
 - Raising taxes to about 2.5 times today's level
- **Faster Economic Growth Can Help, but It Cannot Solve the Problem**
 - Closing the current long-term fiscal gap based on responsible assumptions would require real average annual economic growth in the double digit range every year for the next 75 years.
 - During the 1990s, the economy grew at on average 3.2 percent per year.
 - As a result, we cannot simply grow our way out of this problem. Tough choices will be required.
- **The Sooner We Get Started, the Better**
 - Less change would be needed, and there would be more time to make adjustments.
 - The miracle of compounding would work with us rather than against us.
 - Our demographic changes will serve to make reform more difficult over time.

The Way Forward

- Implement new accounting and reporting approaches and new budget control mechanisms for considering the impact of spending and tax policies and decisions over the long term
- Develop new metrics for measuring the impact of policies and decisions over the long term (e.g., key national indicators to measure our Nation's position and progress over time and in relation to other countries)
- Reexamine the base—question existing programs, policies and activities

Long-term Fiscal Challenges Demand New Metrics, Mechanisms, & Processes

- Accounting and reporting policies for trust funds, Social Security, Medicare, Veterans benefits, among other things, need to be reviewed and revised.
- The current budget time horizon [2-year, 5-year, 10-year] does not capture many long-term costs—e.g. Social Security, Medicare, pension insurance—and other major tax and spending provisions
- Cash and obligations-based budgeting is misleading for insurance and some benefit programs
- Budget controls have expired—and we need to go beyond “holding the line” to “changing the base” in spending and tax policies

Improved Metrics, Measures & Processes: Some Ideas

- Provide information on long-term costs of major spending and tax proposals before they are voted on, including showing long-term costs even for proposals that sunset
- Establish an OMB annual report on fiscal exposures, including appropriate measures and how to address them
- Consider fiscal targets, triggers, and points of order with focus on limiting growth of long-term commitments
- Move to accrual budgeting for employee pension, retiree health; disclose “risk assumed” [missing premium] for insurance
- Reinstitute budget controls (caps & PAYGO)

We Need a 3-Pronged Approach

- Restructure existing entitlement programs
- Reexamine the base of discretionary and other spending
- Review and revise our tax policy and enforcement programs

GAO Criteria for Evaluating Social Security Reform Proposals

Reform proposals should be evaluated as packages that strike a balance among individual reform elements and important interactive effects.

Comprehensive proposals can be evaluated against three basic criteria:


- Financing sustainable solvency
- Balancing adequacy and equity in the benefits structure
- Implementing and administering reforms

Illustrative 21st Century Questions

- How should our overall health care system be reformed to make it more successful and sustainable over time (e.g., focusing on certain defined needs versus unlimited wants; addressing the division of responsibilities between levels of government, employers, and individuals; and facilitating individual choice, cost control and quality improvement?)
- Which tax incentives and preferences need to be reconsidered given their cost and effects, failure to achieve goals intended by Congress, or their unintended consequences? For example, can adequate health care coverage be achieved at less cost and greater distributional equity through a fundamental redesign of the current health tax preferences, or through their elimination and the use of other means to provide for coverage?

Illustrative 21st Century Questions

- How should Social Security be reformed to make it both solvent and sustainable while better aligning it with 21st Century economic, demographic and fiscal realities?
- Should the current criteria and process for determining disability be revised in light of substantial changes in health care and real enhancements in work prospects for many who are disabled?
- What changes should be made to existing pension laws in order to enhance the retirement income security of workers and help assure the financial integrity of the PBGC?
- How should federal programs and policies be revised to encourage people to work longer and to facilitate phased retirement approaches?



THE NATION'S GROWING Fiscal Imbalance

The Honorable David M. Walker
Comptroller General of the United States

CSIS Global Aging Forum
June 16, 2004